Interview Summary

Application No. 09/746,144

Applicant(s)

Cornish et al.

Examiner

Pamela Wingood

Art Unit 3736



	2) □ applicant's representative] e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:	
(2) Paul Feng Date of Interview		
		Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes
		Claim(s) discussed: <i>All Claims</i>
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)\square$ was reached.		
Applicants clarified Claim 1 and indicated that if apparatunon-elected claims	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or is claims were found allowable that they would cancel the	
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amond		
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ments which the examiner agreed would render the claims opy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable	
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the separation of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMANCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MARKELUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.)	ments which the examiner agreed would render the claims opy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable atte record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST P section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has	

U. S. Petent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary

Examiner's signature, it required